Viscoelastic Deformation Models for Subduction Earthquake Cycles

Kelin Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiangheng He<sup>1</sup>, Yan Hu<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Pacific Geoscience Centre, Geol Surv of Canada <sup>2</sup> School of Earth & Ocean Sciences, Univ of Victoria





# **Stress and Strain**

#### The Cascadia Subduction Zone





#### **Summary of Stresses**



Why is marginparallel stress large?

Secular motion of Cascadia forearc (*Modified from Wells & Simpson,* 2001).

Assumed to be steady state.

To be subtracted from interseismic observations and model.



#### The Cascadia Subduction Zone





Why is marginnormal stress small? Margin-normal stress controlled by two competing factors:

 Gravity induces horizontal tension in forearc

• Plate coupling causes compression

#### Two converging elastic plates in frictional contact $\tau = \mu' \sigma$

Non-lithostatic stress symbols:

Thin – compression Thick – tension

Method: Finite element with Lagrange-multiplier domain decomposition



Thermal model for Cascadia (Finite element)

Very little fictional heating is required to fit surface heat flow observations, indicating very low shear stress.



Static friction: Loading followed by stress drop on locked zone

Method: Finite element with Lagrangemultiplier domain decomposition



#### The Cascadia Subduction Zone



## **Time dependent deformation**

Inter-seismic deformation rate

Post-seismic deformation rate

Co-seismic displacement





## Fault slip vs. stress relaxation





#### Afterslip: ~ 1 – 10 years? Relaxation: ~ decades? Hopefully effects of transient and/or nonlinear rheology also become less pronounced

# **Backslip model for fault locking**







## **Steady Subduction**







#### 50 years after earthquake



#### years after earthquake (today)



Prolonged post-seismic deformation and stress relaxation





#### Alaska GPS campaigns (1993-1997)

Freymueller et al. (2000, JGR) Savage et al. (1999, GRL) 3D finite element model of mantle stress relaxation; an earthquake (foreslip) followed by fault locking (backslip)



GPS data at Chile margin ~ 35 years after the 1960 great earthquake (Mw 9.5) (Klotz et al., EPSL, 2001)



#### 8-node finite elements; Maxwell viscoelastic



GPS data at Chile margin ~ 35 years after the 1960 great earthquake (Mw 9.5) (Klotz et al., EPSL, 2001)



3D Viscoelastic model of post-seismic deformation (mantle viscosity 2.5 x 10<sup>19</sup> Pa s) (Hu et al., JGR, 2004) GPS data at Chile margin ~ 35 years after the 1960 great earthquake (Mw 9.5) (Klotz et al., EPSL, 2001)



# 3D Viscoelastic model of crustal deformation following 1960 (M 9.5) Chile earthquake (Hu et al., JGR, 2004)



Importance of along-strike rupture length and slip magnitude (35 years after earthquake; mantle viscosity 2.5 x 10<sup>19</sup> Pa s) (Hu et al., 2004)



### Summary

• A great subduction earthquake is the rupture of a weak plate boundary fault; earthquake cycles cause small stress changes but large strain rates

• Afterslip (or interseismic slip) and stress relaxation cannot always be distinguished (model-dependent)

• Mantle wedge viscosity required in stress relaxation models is ~  $10^{19}$  Pa s

 Prolonged seaward motion of inland GPS sites can be explained as post-seismic deformation following long-rupture great earthquakes

#### 8-node elements





#### 27-node elements















Developer: Jiangheng He

**Preprocessing:** 

#### Locked

Software: academic version of VisualFEA (S. Korea) or GID (Spain)

2D: Direct use of above software

3D: Use above software to create 2D meshes on plate interface and other important surfaces, then extrapolate into 3D

Visualization:

ParaViewckslip

## **Steady Subduction**

Parallelization:

Elemental computing: Metis (Parmetis ready) (graphic partition) Iterative solver: Aztec, Petsc

Direct solver: SuperLU