Data Integration, High Performance Computing, and Scientific Visualization in the Geodynamics Workflow



Photo by Oliver Kreylos

Margarete A. Jadamec

NSF Postdoctoral Fellow Dept. of Geological Sciences Brown University

Collaborators: Magali Billen, Donald Turcotte, Louise Kellogg, Oliver Kreylos, Marek Vanco, Karen Fischer

Resources: NSF, XSEDE, TACC, KeckCAVES http://www.geo.brown.edu/People/Postdocs/Jadamec/ EarthCube Modeling for the Geosciences April 23, 2013

Plate Tectonics and Deformation at Plate Boundaries



Rohr & Furlong, 95 Zhong & Gurnis, 96 Hall et al., 00 Billen et al., 03 Syracuse & Abers, 06 Kneller & van Keken, 07 Schellart et al., 07 Ammon et al., 08 Jadamec & Billen, 10





Bird 2003

Observe Surface Plate Velocity (I-I5 cm/yr)



Schellart et al., G-Cubed 2008

Lithosphere (outer layer of Earth) ~15 (52) 'Plates' ~150 km thick Motion of plates on Earth's surface from GPS measurements (DeMets and Dixon, GRL 1999; Bird, G-Cubed 2003; Schellart et al., ESR 2008)

What About the Mantle Underneath the Plates?



The fast seismic propagation axis indicates mantle flow is aligned with plate motion indicating **coupling** between the plates and mantle in the center of the tectonic plates (assuming A type fabric in olivine, where the fast seismic axis is tracking the mantle flow)

What About the Mantle Underneath the Plates?



Hanna and Long, 2012

Conrad et al., JGR 2007; Long and Silver, Surv. Geophys. 2009

This is not the case at many subduction zones where the seismic fast axis is not aligned with surface plate motion, implying complex mantle flow in subduction zones and **decoupling** between the plates and mantle (assuming A type fabric in olivine)

How Explain Complex Mantle Wedge Flow & Decoupling?



In 2D model, the material in the mantle wedge and that beneath the subducting plate are entrained by the slab, giving mantle flow aligned with plate motion

Thus, 2D models of subduction cannot explain the trench parallel flow implied by the seismic anisotropy

(Tovish et al., JGR 1978)

How Explain Complex Mantle Wedge Flow & Decoupling?



Zhong and Gurnis (Nature, 1996); Buttles and Olson (EPSL, 1998); Kincaid and Griffiths (Nature, 2003); Schellart (JGR, 2004); Funiciello et al (JGR, 2006); Stegman et al. (G cubed, 2006); Piromallo et al. (GRL, 2006); Kneller and van Keken (Nature, 2007); Jadamec and Billen (Nature, 2010); Jadamec and Billen (JGR, 2012) 3D models show slabs pivoting, toroidal flow at lateral slab edges, trench-parallel sub-slab and mantle wedge flow

Provide fluid dynamics mechanism for decoupling in direction, but what about in velocity magnitude?

What is the role of rheology in controlling the magnitude of the decoupling?

Regionally Based 3D Model to Elucidate Process







Slab Shape



Data for Slab Surface (gray)

- Doser et. al. (1999)
- Gudmundsson and Sambridge (1998)
- Page et al. (1989)
- Plafker et al. (1994)
- Ratchkovski and Hansen (2002)

Data Integration: Slab shape

Jadamec and Billen, Nature 2010; Jadamec and Billen, JGR 2012



Ebernart-Phillips et al. [2006]	5D tomography	_	> 200	100-180	00-00
Zhao et al. [1995]	3D tomography	_	> 190	165	> 90
Kissling and Lahr [1991]	3D tomography	_	_	120 - 150	_
Fuis et al. [2008]	Seismic reflection, refraction	_	240	175	100
	earthquake hypocentral locations				
Ferris et al. [2003]	Teleseismic receiver function analysis	_	_	150	_
Ratchkovski and Hansen [2002]	Earthquake hypocentral locations	_	210	165 - 185	_
Page et al. [1989]	Seismic reflection, refraction	_	_	_	> 100
	earthquake hypocentral locations				
Engdahl and Gubbins [1987]	Simultaneous travel time inversion	400	_	_	_
Stephens et al. [1984]	Earthquake hypocentral locations	_	_	_	85
Boyd and Creager [1991]	Local seismicity and teleseismic	600	_	_	_
	residual sphere analysis				
Gudmundsson and Sambridge [1998]	RUM seismic model	300	250	150	_



Seafloor Age Grid (Muller et al., 1997, 2008)





Constraints on Upper Plate Temperature





-6000 -5000 -4000 -3000 -2000 -1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 AVO; Smith and Sandwell, 1997 (m)

Use Shear Wave Velocity to Constrain Plate Thickness



Jadamec and Fischer, In Prep. 2013

Data: Lekic & Romanowicz, 2011; Bird, 2003; Syracuse & Abers, 2006; Gudmundsson & Sambridge, 1998



Madal	C/C ⁺⁺ Program for Geodynamics Workflow				
Configuration	Slab Plate Plt B	Shape — Sla e Ages — (Jac andy —	abGenerator damec, 2009)	Mesh Therm Weak	
Mesh Gener	ation	Temperature	Shear Zone	Visualization	
Input Files					
modelA_para modelA_para modelA_para	am.lon am.lat am.rad	<pre>slab_shape.dat slab_edge.dat seafloor_ages.da region.poly* polyregion.exp*</pre>	plate_interface.	dat	
Output Files					
mesh_vects	.ascii	modelA_therm.bin	<pre>modelA_weak.bin</pre>	modelA_CT.dat	

C/C⁺⁺ Program for Geodynamics Workflow





Input Files

modelA_param.lon
modelA_param.lat
modelA_param.rad

Output Files

mesh_vects.ascii



C/C⁺⁺ Program for Geodynamics Workflow



Temperature

slab_shape.dat
slab_edge.dat
seafloor_ages.dat
region.poly*
polyregion.exp*

modelA_therm.bin



C/C⁺⁺ Program for Geodynamics Workflow



Temperature

slab_shape.dat
slab_edge.dat
seafloor_ages.dat
region.poly*
polyregion.exp*

modelA_therm.bin

Shear Zone

plate_interface.dat

modelA_weak.bin

Jadamec and Billen, Nature 2010; Jadamec and Billen, JGR 2012; Jadamec et al., XSEDE 2012

C/C⁺⁺ Program for Geodynamics Workflow

Slab Shape \longrightarrow SlabGenerator Plate Ages \longrightarrow (Jadamec, 2009) \longrightarrow Mesh Therm Weak

3D Plate Boundary Shear Zone

We then define a 3D low viscosity layer to separate the plates (plate boundary shear zone) where

 $\eta_{\rm wk} = 10^{[(log_{10}(\eta_{\rm eff}))(1-A_{\rm wk})]}$

and the final viscosity becomes

 $\eta_{\rm f} = \min\left(\eta_{\rm eff}, \eta_{\rm wk}\right)$





Three-dimensional Data Visualization



- 3D Immersive virtual reality
- Interactive model exploration, GB of data

Real-time evaluation of hypotheses

Kreylos et al. 2006; Kellogg et al. 2008







Solves conservation equations (1,2) for viscous flow (Moresi et al. 96; Zhong, 06)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla p - \nabla \cdot \left[\eta_{\text{eff}} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}\right)\right] = \rho_0 \alpha \left(T - T_0\right) g \delta_{\text{rr}} \tag{2}$$

Model Domain and Bounds

~30° x ~30° x 1500 km On the order of 10⁸ elements 2.5 to 25 km resolution Computing Specifications

Run on XSEDE, TACC and PSC ~20,000 to ~45,000 Hours/job 100s to 1000s of cores



Jadamec et al., XSEDE 2012

Large viscosity variations in Earth challenge for geodynamics codes (Moresi and Solomotov, 1995; Tackley, 1996; May and Moresi, 2008; Jadamec et al., 2012)



Mantle Deformation Constraints

Seismic Anisotropy Mantle upwellings Rock deformation experiments

(Jadamec and Billen, Nature 2010)
(Jadamec and Billen, JGR 2012)
(Durance et al., AJES 2012)
(Jadamec and Moresi, In Prep. 2013)
(Jadamec and Fischer, In Prep., 2013)

Lithospheric Deformation Constraints

Pacific Plate velocity GPS Wrangell Block Exhumation/Subsidence Global Strain Rate Model

(Jadamec et al., EPSL, In Rev., 2013) (Jadamec et al., In Prep., 2013)

Models w/Rapid Mantle Flow Fit Plate Motions & Anisotropy



Jadamec and Billen, Nature 2010; Jadamec and Billen, JGR 2012

Plate Motion Constraints: DeMets and Dixon, GRL 1999 SKS Constraints: Christensen and Abers, JGR 2010

3D Mantle Flow Field Around the Alaska Slab Edge



3D Numerical Models of Mantle Flow in Central America



Log₁₀(ε)

Jadamec and Fischer, In Prep. 2013

Flat Slab Subduction Driving Upper Plate Deformation



Jadamec, Billen, and Roeske, EPSL, In Revision 2013

Broader Impacts, Societal Impacts, Communication





Volcan Arenal, Costa Rica

Broader Impacts, Societal Impacts, Communication



Painting by Lynn Jadamec

Communication Among Scientists, EarthCube, Impacts



Thank You

