



Institut des Sciences de la Terre

# Ambient noise imaging

Michel Campillo

ISTerre Université Joseph Fourier and CNRS, 38041 Grenoble, France

with Bérénice Froment, Piero Poli, Pierre Boué, Philippe Roux, Laurent Stehly, Gregor Hillers, Anne Paul, Nikolai Shapiro, Helle Pedersen, ...

-Introduction

-Reconstruction and limits

-Surface waves and body waves

Large networks – continuous recordings







Seismology : huge data sets consisting for a large part of 'ambient noise'.. Availability: IRIS,...

# Global 'noise' sources in the microseism band (extended ≈2-50s)

Strong contribution from oceanic waves

Example of a global comparison

seismological observations

oceanographic modeling



Hillers et al., 2012

Deterministic description of the sources?

#### Long range correlations



A way to provide new data with control on source location and origin time

Experimentally verified with seismological data: Coda waves: Campillo and Paul, 2003;..... Ambient noise: Shapiro and Campillo, 2004,..... Processing:

-preprocessing: stationarity of amplitude (ex: 1-bit introduced for coda waves)
-correlation of long time series (fluctuations decrease with integration time...)
-adaptative filtering...

-removing of earthquakes from ambient noise

-noise imaging/monitoring could involve large data sets and huge sets of CCs....

# Refined imaging within a large array

(Pierre Boué 2013)





With ray bending



1500

4<sup>1000</sup>

500

path per

cell size :

-0.5



Surface wave imaging with seismic noise..... it works



Shapiro et al. Science 2005.

#### 3D shear velocity model

- 1) Vg(x,y,T)
- 2) Vs(x,y,z) local non linear inversion

The Moho beneath the Alps



Stehly et al. ,2009

Arbitrary medium: an integral representation written in the frequency domain

$$G_{12} - G_{12}^{*} = \frac{4i\omega\kappa}{c} \int_{\nabla} G_{1x} G_{2x}^{*} dV + \oint_{S} \left[ G_{1x} \nabla \left( G_{2x}^{*} \right) - \nabla \left( G_{1x} \right) G_{2x}^{*} \right] d\vec{S}$$

$$Volume term \qquad Surface term$$

$$FT \text{ of } G(-t)$$

$$Absorption coefficient$$

$$\int_{V} \int_{V} \int_{V}$$

Surface term: *κ* =0 (no attenuation)

$$G_{12} - G_{12}^* = \oint_{S} \left[ G_{1x} \vec{\nabla} \left( G_{2x}^* \right) - \vec{\nabla} \left( G_{1x} \right) G_{2x}^* \right] \vec{dS}$$

If the surface is taken in the far field of the medium heterogeneities

$$G_{1x} \sim \frac{1}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{r_1}|} \exp\left(-ik |\vec{x} - \vec{r_1}|\right) \text{ and } \vec{\nabla}(G_{1x}) \sim i\vec{k} G_{1x}$$

and we obtain a widely used integral relation:

$$G_{12} - G_{12}^* = -2i\frac{\omega}{c} \oint_{S} G_{1x} G_{2x}^* dS$$

Volume term: 
$$G_{12} - G_{12}^* = \frac{4i\omega\kappa}{c} \int_{v} G_{1x} G_{2x}^* dV$$

. .

 $\kappa$  is finite (attenuation)

S is assumed to be sufficiently far away, for its contribution to be neglected (spreading and attenuation)

Note that scatterers can be regarded as internal sources  $\rightarrow$  coda correlations



Using ambient noise

Let's be practical:

We have no control on the noise sources and we cannot perform field experiments with such ideal distribution of sources...

At short period, we are usually NOT in the far field of the heterogeneities

We cannot measure easily the gradients of the fields....

Location of the sources that contribute to the correlation. Ray approximation for direct waves: the end fire lobes

> Difference of travel time between A and B wrt the position of the source

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Stationary phase and end fire lobes: actual data

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

From Gouédard et al., 2008

## End fire lobes

# Contributions to direct waves in the GF

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

Extension to scattered waves by H. Sato

In practice, the noise sources are not evenly distributed and the field is not made isotropic by scattering.

At first order we can study the effect of non isotropy of the incident field intensity on the receivers.

It results in bias on the measurements of direct path travel times.

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

From Froment et al., 2011.

#### Correlation of coda waves

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

a)

400

**b)**<sub>100</sub>

90

▼ Receiver Source

+

From Froment et al., 2011.

Noise records contain direct and scattered waves

We can construct virtual seismograms between stations pairs from noise records.

They contain the information about structures, but also all the complexity of actual seismograms

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Specifically they contain the scattered waves (coda waves). This is attested by the fact that we can also construct 'virtual' seismograms from the correlation of noise based virtual seismograms

- $\rightarrow$  C<sup>3</sup> method (Stehly et al., 2008; Garnier et al., 2011)
  - $\rightarrow$  can even be iterated in C<sup>5</sup>.. (Froment et al., 2011)
    - → long travel times = strong sensitivity to changes

Illustration of C3

(a) Computation of noise correlations (virtual seismograms)

(b) Correlations of noise correlation codas (stations as virtual sources)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

Remove the influence of actual source distribution- or extracting multiply scattered waves

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

→ Physical significance of the coda of noise correlation

Surface wave imaging with seismic noise..... it works

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Shapiro et al. Science 2005.

#### 3D shear velocity model

- 1) Vg(x,y,T)
- 2) Vs(x,y,z) local non linear inversion

The Moho beneath the Alps

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

Stehly et al. ,2009

Surface wave tomography → body waves (deep reflections)

#### Comparison of high frequency (1Hz) 1-year noise correlation with earthquake data *Poli et al. 2012a*

#### POLENET/LAPNET array in Finland

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Comparison with synthetic Green functions

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Reconstruction of P and S multiple reflections

#### Polarisation: noise correlation vs synthetics

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

Good reconstruction of phase and relative amplitudes of the components of the reflected waves. (amplitude discussed by Prieto)

A favorable context: distance vs. mean free path, amplitude in actual earthquake records

#### ➔ Deep phases

Poli et al., 2012a

#### → Earth's mantle discontinuities from ambient seismic noise (crystalline phase transition → (P,T))

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

# GLOBAL TELESEISMIC CORRELATIONS (periods 25-100s)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Boué, Poli et al., GJI 2013

Numerous phases can be identified

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Short periods 5-10 s

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Standard pre-processing (Shapiro and Campillo, 2004; Sabra et al. 2005) eliminates the contamination by EQ ballistic waves.

Examples of applications:

Teleseismic delays in the Yellowstone region using USArray-LapNet subarrays

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

Measure of the anisotropy of the inner core: (polar paths are faster than equatorial paths)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

→ Numerous applications to come!

#### Perspectives: imaging and monitoring

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Correlation functions as approximate Green functions

Direct waves are sensitive to noise source distribution (errors small enough for tomography ( $\leq 1\%$ ) but too large for monitoring (goal  $\approx 10^{-4}$ )

Stability of the 'coda' of the noise correlations = frozen distribution of scatterers

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

December 2000

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 4D passive imaging of a volcano

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

Local change of speed

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Local change of scattering properties

Anne Obermann PhD 2013